Who profits from EU funding for military research and development?

Since 2017 the European Union has funded military Research and Development (R&D) projects. It has so far allocated €285 million from annual budgets in 2017, 2018 and 2019. Which countries and companies are benefiting most from EU subsidies to research and develop military technologies and weapon systems?

Which technologies are being developed and how are projects controlled from an ethical point of view?

These are key questions this publication intends to answer: EU citizens are entitled to know what is being done with taxpayers’ money.

How did we get here? A process under heavy influence of the arms industry

EU funding for military research and development was adopted under heavy influence from the arms companies and private research groups that will most benefit from these subsidies. Nine of the 16 members of the Group of Personalities that, in 2016, advised the European Commission to create this funding represented profit-making interests.

1A former director of the Fraunhofer Institute co-authored a study for the European Parliament as “independent expert”, advocating a programme of which the Fraunhofer Institute is now an important beneficiary. The military industry has built a privileged relationship with key EU Parliamentarians (MEPs) and its national corporate lobbies have developed a symbiotic relationship with national governments making the decisions at EU level.

The EU Defence Fund

The EU budget for military research and development.

The EU Defence Fund 2021-2027

€7.95 billion* EU Defence Fund 2021-2027

€5.3 billion* ‘Development window’

€2.65 billion* ‘Research window’

18 research projects have been selected under the Preparatory Action for Defence Research (PADR) to fund the first step of an R&D process, also called Research & Technology. Grants allocated amount to €85.16 million.

16 development projects have been selected under the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP) in 2019, to fund the second phase of an R&D process (the last phase before production, such as prototypes, testing or certification). Grants allocated amount to €200 million.

2 projects are being negotiated by the Commission for direct awards, amounting to €137 million (see p.3).
### Who benefits most from the EU Defence Fund in 2017-2019?

Four countries are largely benefiting from this funding: France, Italy, Germany and Spain. These are also the biggest EU arms exporters. However, according to available information about 40% of EU countries receive no or very limited funding.

#### PADR 2017-2019

Under the PADR, the big four receive 57% of all grants and make up 65% of beneficiaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Grants</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITALY</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANY</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAIN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Grants</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR, PL, SW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT, RO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG, CZ, FI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS, DK, EST, LV, SK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EDIDP 2019 budget

Under the EDIDP, the big four receive 53% of all grants and make up 47% of beneficiaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Grants</th>
<th>Beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAIN</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREECE</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL, SW</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG, DK</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI, HU, RO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUS, BG, DK, EST, LV, LX, LV, SL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data also reveals which companies benefit most of EU funding

#### PADR

The companies profiting most:
- THALES, LEONARDO*, TNO*
- INDRA*, ONERA
- Frauenhofer*, SAAB*, Wojskowa Akademia TJD

Under the Research funding in particular (PADR), 7 companies**, out of 81 beneficiaries, received more than 40% of the total budget allocated in 2017-2018 (excl. EXCEED project). They were all members of the Group of Personalities (GoP) which advised the European Commission to create this funding in 2016. The detailed breakdown is missing for the 2019 PADR projects, but so far 6 GoP members are involved in 5 projects and receive 9 grants. The CROWN project in particular, with the second biggest budget (€10 million), sees 5 of the GoP members involved.

#### EDIDP

The companies profiting most:
- THALES, LEONARDO*, TNO*, Signal, SAFRAN, Diehl, GMV, SAAB*

Under the Development funding (EDIDP), 8 companies which were part of the GoP** are involved in 9 projects, mainly the ones with the biggest budgets, and get 20 grants. This is 12% of the number of grants, but they represent 6% of beneficiaries. They are coordinators of 6 of those 9 projects.

---

* members of the Group of personalities advising the Commission on EU funding for military research in 2016
** Those are: Airbus, Frauenhofer, INDRA, Leonardo, MBDA, SAAB and TNO. The 8th company member of the GoP is BAE Systems.

---

(1) public information for 32 projects is accessible on the Commission or European Defence Agency (EDA) websites and in the INDRDA press release (dated 24/05/20) for the research project CROWN. For 3 research projects (~ 25% of the PADR budget), the EDA only provided the topic and total amount of the grant, but not which countries and companies are involved. The EDA provided detailed information about the first 7 PADR projects, including breakdown of the grant per beneficiary. This led to interesting findings. However for the 2019 research projects and for the development projects under EDIDP, the funding breakdown per beneficiary is not published. Thus we could only consider the number of grants and number of beneficiaries for this paper, except when specified otherwise. The direct award projects are not considered except when specified otherwise, as the same level of details is not available. We consider only direct European beneficiaries receiving funding, not the subcontractors and other participating entities not receiving EU funding. This explains diverging numbers compared with EU official data.

---
Which military technologies are being funded?

The budget share illustrates where the priorities are, and it is no coincidence that the two projects benefiting from direct awards relate to the two categories receiving almost 90% of the funding so far:

Intelligence-surveillance-reconnaissance (ISR)
- ESSOR: €37 million under direct award. European Secure Software Defined Radio, developing common technologies for European military radios and a secure military communications system between EU forces.

Next generation of combat capabilities
- EURODRONE: €100 million under direct award. Supports the development of the Medium Altitude Long Endurance Remotely Piloted Aircraft (MALE RPAS). This European drone could then be armed for use.

The Fund’s main goal is to develop the next generation of weapon systems and combat capabilities, with a strong focus on integrating new technologies. This is meant to provide the arms industry with a technological superiority over competitors in order to 'boost its competitiveness', including for exports.

Three main areas of ‘technological progress’ are at the core of projects selected, and often intertwined:

The research and development of new weapon systems poses fundamental ethical, legal and societal questions about the technologies being developed for future use.

In light of the risks entailed, EU funding should require specific precautionary measures until these issues, including future exports, are properly addressed in European and International Law. Unfortunately this is not the case.

PILUM (PADR - €1,400,000)
Research for demonstrator of EMRG (electromagnetic railgun) to launch projectiles over extremely long distance with electromagnetic acceleration

TALOS (PADR - €6,400,000)
Develop and demonstrate critical Laser Directed Energy Weapon technologies, paving the way to design and build a EU high-power laser effector

Which military technologies are being funded?

UNMANNED SYSTEMS
- Drones and other unmanned vehicles
RISKS ENTAILED:
- global proliferation (state and non-state actors) and easy to arm
- ‘targeted killings’ amounting to extra-judicial killings violating international law, with numerous civilian casualties
- ‘risk-free’ perception and physical distance may lower threshold for using lethal force or entering into war
- loitering drones and ‘clustered’ drones (e.g. drone swarms) increased unpredictability and civilians casualties, resurgence of large-scale attacks with swarms

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
- Increasingly autonomous weapons
RISKS ENTAILED:
- increasing complex (swarm) systems, largely unmanned: limited effective human control, biased algorithms, inherent unpredictability, communication disruptions, etc.
- serious risks of ‘errors’, conflict escalation and important civilian casualties
- preparing path to fully autonomous weapons that would select and shoot targets without human control, e.g. killer-robots
- ‘risk-free’ and ‘dehumanization’ may foster military answers
- challenging legal responsibility: designer, operator, decision-maker, machine?

DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES
- that will radically change how to conduct war
RISKS ENTAILED:
- disruptive technologies being researched include:
  - hypersonic weapons: extreme speed and manoeuvrability, making them very difficult to defend against, dust-use nature increasing potential for accidental nuclear war
  - directed energy weapons, like microwave and lasers: causing damage by intense heating (burning/blinding); fast, silent and invisible, with high risk to contribute to human rights abuses

PROJECTS APPROVED:
- OCEAN2020
  - (PADR - €35,480,000)
  - Enhancing unmanned systems integrated into fleet operations (swarms) for maritime surveillance and interdiction missions (demonstrator in Med. sea)

- EUDAAS
  - (EDIDP - €21,200,000)
  - Detect And Avoid solution for safe insertion of large drones in European air traffic and enable their use in much wider and flexible way

- ARTUS & IMUGS
  - (PADR - €1.5m & EDIDP - €30.6m)
  - Contribute to development of Beyond-Line-of-Sight missiles with autonomous target designation capability
What about the ethical control of projects and technologies?

While spending hundreds of millions of euros of taxpayers’ money on developing new weapons is already highly unethical, it seems the EU is also disregarding international law and human rights standards in setting up these projects. Furthermore, it does so largely behind closed doors.

Ethical checks, when they exist, fall short of being credible and violate international law

The decision to export EU-funded technologies and weaponry will remain in the hands of national governments. In light of current practices, there is a serious risk that weapon systems developed with EU public money will feed the global arms race and end in areas under conflict or tension.

Lack of transparency and parliamentary control

These developments are happening with very limited public scrutiny. On alleged ‘security’ or ‘commercial interests’, the European Commission has denied access to most of the documents requested by researchers, and the ones that have been were only released after complaints to the European Ombudsman.

As for the European Parliament, its normal oversight role over EU funding programmes has been drastically limited under exemption rules. MEPs have no say on how the funding is being used and depend on the Commission’s goodwill for information. It seems that only a handful of MEPs from the Industry Committee can look at project details.

For Research projects under the PADR,

The EDA has put in place Ethical, Legal and Societal Assessment (ELSA) reviews. These reviews lack a proper assessment of legal and societal risks related to weapons research, and are not in accordance with international obligations, in particular protocol I of the 1949 Geneva Convention: its art. 36 requires that in the study, development or acquisition of military systems or technology, states have to determine if their use could violate International Law.

“Given the possible ethical, legal and societal implications of the funded projects, it is also important to assure the public that these aspects are examined carefully, and that necessary safeguards are put in place, most notably, to ensure that no fundamental rights are violated.”

EU Ombudsman decision dated 27/11/19, following a complaint by Vredesactie (case 1529/2019/MIG)

For Development projects under the EDIDP,

The Commission will not conduct specific ethical checks. The applicant companies are self-assessing that their projects do not include technologies prohibited under international law. Funding for ‘killer-robots’ technology is prohibited under the EDIDP, but loopholes in the definition still allow for technological ‘progress’ in this area, and the Commission did not answer precise requests about how they will define ‘meaningful human control’ nor where the red line of lethal autonomous weapons is.

“Regarding the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), Regulation (EU) 2018/1092 (...) does not provide for Ethical, Legal and Societal Aspects (ELSA) or similar reviews to be performed on the proposals received.”

Answer given by Mr Breton on behalf of the European Commission to the written question of MEP Luke Ming Flanagan, 18 November 2019

The European Network Against Arms Trade (ENAAT) is an informal network of 20 European peace groups working together in research, advocacy and campaigning.

Visit our website www.enaat.org or contact us at info@enaat.org. Detailed data compiled for this fact-sheet is available upon request.